Energy Infrastructure for Transitioned Societies

Barak Water

Energy Infrastructure for Transitioned Societies

1. Energy Infrastructure for Transitioned Societies
    1. 3.1 Solar PV and solar thermal
    2. 3.2 Wind
    3. 3.3 Batteries and other storage
    4. 3.4 Shallow geothermal and ground-coupled systems
    5. 3.5 Run-of-river, low-head, and hydrokinetic water systems
    6. 4.1 Mechanochemical engines and artificial-muscle concepts
    7. 4.2 Enhanced geothermal systems (EGS)
    8. 5.1 Start with load reduction
    9. 5.2 Build critical-load microgrids first
    10. 5.3 Then expand by concentric layers
    11. Related Materials

Energy Infrastructure for Transitioned Societies

Edition of 5 November 2026. The latest version is always at worldintransition.net/explore/supplemental/energy-infrastructure/.

Distributed, Diverse, Renewable, and Resilient Power Systems

Barak Water

Energy Infrastructure for Transitioned Societies

A planning paper on distributed, diverse, renewable, and resilient power systems

Purpose
Translate the broad idea of Transition into practical, low-cost actions people can begin now, and sketch an energy architecture suited to post-currency, post-scarcity communities.

Design premise: A Transitioned society should minimize dependence on large, fragile, fuel-intensive, and politically centralizable energy systems. The target is not one technology, but a layered ecology of local generation, storage, efficiency, and flexible demand.

The most workable post-centralized energy architecture is a federation of microgrids and grid-interactive local energy systems. At the smallest scale, homes and small buildings emphasize efficiency, rooftop or facade solar, batteries where justified, thermal storage, solar hot water where climate fits, and electrified heating/cooling via heat pumps. At the neighborhood and campus scale, the backbone becomes shared storage, looped thermal systems, demand coordination, local controls, and a diversity of generation sources. Larger regional grids still matter, but mostly as balancing and exchange layers rather than as the sole source of power.

• **Distributed first:** Put generation and flexibility as close to loads as practical.

• **Efficiency before capacity:** The cheapest and cleanest kilowatt is the one not needed.

• **Diversity over monoculture:** Solar alone is brittle; a portfolio is stronger.

• **Graceful islanding:** Critical loads should be able to separate and continue operating during wider outages.

• **Thermal as well as electrical thinking:** Heating and cooling loads often dominate. Shallow geothermal, district thermal loops, insulation, and hot-water storage are strategic.

• **Maintainability:** Use designs that local technicians can inspect, repair, and incrementally upgrade.

• **Ecological fit:** Hydro and geothermal options must be shaped by local hydrology, geology, biodiversity, and community acceptance.

Scale	Priority technologies	What this layer should do
Home / small dwelling	Efficiency retrofits; rooftop PV where suitable; batteries selectively; heat pumps; solar hot water; smart controls	Lower base demand, ride through short outages, support critical loads
Apartment / large building	Shared PV; building batteries; thermal storage; ground-source or water-loop systems; efficient ventilation; sub-metered flexibility	Aggregate loads, reduce peaks, keep essential services running

Scale	Priority technologies	What this layer should do
Neighborhood / block	Microgrid controller; shared battery; community solar; district thermal loop; EVs as flexible loads; backup biogas or other last-resort clean firming if needed	Balance local variability and enable islanding
Municipal / campus	Multiple microgrids; wastewater/industrial waste heat recovery; run-of-river or hydrokinetic where fit; larger storage; resilience hubs	Protect critical infrastructure and provide coordination
Regional	Transmission, balancing, seasonal exchange, reserve services, specialized generation where geography allows	Share surpluses and deficits across many local systems

3.1 Solar PV and solar thermal

Solar is the natural first layer in many climates because it scales from rooftops to parking canopies to community arrays. Its main weakness is temporal mismatch, so it works best when paired with storage, load shifting, or complementary resources.

• Use rooftops first, then facades, shade structures, and already-disturbed land.

• Pair new PV with wiring pathways for batteries and controllable loads even if storage is added later.

• Use solar thermal or heat-pump water heating where hot-water demand is large.

• Standardize mounting, inverters, and replacement parts to simplify local maintenance.

3.2 Wind

Wind can complement solar well, but small wind is highly site-sensitive. It should not be deployed as a symbolic universal solution. Use it where wind resource, turbulence profile, maintenance capacity, and siting constraints genuinely support it.

• Better fit: farms, coasts, ridgelines, islands, industrial estates with measured wind resources.

• Poor fit: dense urban roofs with turbulent low-quality wind.

• Neighborhood-scale wind should be preceded by actual resource measurement, not optimistic assumptions.

3.3 Batteries and other storage

Distributed systems work best when storage is treated as a stack, not a single device class: electrochemical batteries for fast balancing, thermal storage for heating/cooling and hot water, EV batteries as flexible loads, and where possible larger shared storage at neighborhood or campus scale.

• Use batteries to support resilience and local power quality, not only energy arbitrage.

• Favor community- or building-level storage when household systems would be too expensive or fragmented.

• Treat hot-water tanks, chilled water, ice storage, and building thermal mass as strategic storage assets.

3.4 Shallow geothermal and ground-coupled systems

Shallow geothermal is often underused because it does not look dramatic, but for Transitioned societies it is exceptionally attractive: it lowers fuel use for heating and cooling, works at building and district scales, and pairs naturally with electrified heat pumps.

• Strong candidates: apartment blocks, schools, hospitals, offices, and campuses with predictable thermal loads.

• District ambient loops can let multiple buildings share a common thermal backbone.

• This is often more important to real resilience than adding more electricity generation alone.

3.5 Run-of-river, low-head, and hydrokinetic water systems

Your instinct to favor water systems that do not require large dams is sound. Low-head run-of-river and hydrokinetic river turbines can fit a distributed architecture when carefully sited, but they are not universally benign and must be ecologically designed.

• Use only where flow is steady enough, community acceptance is strong, and fish/sediment/ecosystem impacts can be managed.

• Prefer modular, removable, inspectable systems over permanent massive civil works where possible.

• Think of these as geographically specific contributors, not a universal backbone.

4.1 Mechanochemical engines and artificial-muscle concepts

The historical Katchalsky work is real and important. The 1966 Nature paper and 1970 Science paper describe direct conversion of chemical free energy into mechanical work using contracting materials. That said, mechanochemical engines are not presently an off-the-shelf option for neighborhood or grid infrastructure.

• **How to treat them strategically:** as a research track, not a deployment assumption.

• **Where they may matter first:** specialized actuators, soft robotics, biomimetic systems, low-power autonomous devices, or niche energy-conversion systems rather than bulk electricity supply.

• **Implication for Transition planning:** preserve an R&D lane for them, but build the initial energy system around more mature technologies.

I was able to verify the Katchalsky line of work and later artificial-muscle reviews, but I was not able to verify the specific U.S. Air Force study you mentioned from the searchable sources I checked today.

4.2 Enhanced geothermal systems (EGS)

EGS is more mature than mechanochemical engines but still more specialized than shallow geothermal. It can become part of regional clean firm power in suitable geology, yet it requires drilling expertise, careful reservoir management, and nontrivial capital.

• Good role: regional firming resource where geology is favorable.

• Not a universal local solution; use after geological and social feasibility work.

5.1 Start with load reduction

• Deep insulation and air-sealing where climate requires it.

• Heat pumps and heat-pump water heaters.

• Efficient motors, pumps, lighting, and variable-speed drives.

• Demand shaping for refrigeration, water pumping, laundry, and EV charging.

• Passive design in new buildings: shading, daylighting, ventilation, thermal mass.

5.2 Build critical-load microgrids first

• Hospitals, clinics, water systems, food storage, communications, public shelters, sewage, and emergency transport depots should be first-wave projects.

• Each should be able to island and operate on a deliberately chosen critical-load subset.

• Resilience hubs can double as community centers in normal times.

5.3 Then expand by concentric layers

• Link homes and buildings to local controllers and shared storage.

• Add community solar, district thermal loops, and vehicle/load coordination.

• Only then optimize exchange between neighborhoods and the wider regional grid.

Challenge	Why it matters	Remedy
Intermittency	Solar and wind do not align perfectly with demand	Use diversity, storage, flexible demand, thermal storage, and regional exchange
Grid control complexity	Many small assets create coordination needs	Invest in open standards, local controllers, and cybersecurity from day one
Upfront capital	Even low-operating-cost systems can require high initial investment	Phase projects, standardize components, prioritize efficiency first, use shared/community assets
Maintenance fragmentation	Thousands of assets can become thousands of failures	Train local technicians, standardize spares, use preventive maintenance routines
Equity	Richer households can capture benefits first	Use community ownership and public-interest deployment at building/neighborhood scale
Siting conflict	Wind, hydro, and geothermal can create local opposition	Use participatory siting and ecological review; avoid symbolic prestige projects
Seasonal mismatch	Winter and summer peaks differ by climate	Blend electricity planning with thermal planning; consider seasonal storage where justified
Cybersecurity and control lock-in	Vendor-proprietary systems can become new forms of dependency	Favor interoperable, auditable, open protocols where possible

• Treat energy as infrastructure for life, not as a commodity to be profit-maximized.

• Separate operational competence from hierarchical domination: systems still need disciplined engineering and maintenance without recreating feudal command structures.

• Publish transparent performance dashboards for each microgrid or district system.

• Train citizens in energy literacy so decisions are not monopolized by specialists, while still respecting real technical expertise.

• Design components for repairability, replacement, and local fabrication where feasible.

Pilot track	Near-term objective
Resilience hub microgrids	Demonstrate islandable critical facilities using PV, batteries, heat pumps, and smart loads
District thermal loops	Show that heating/cooling decarbonization can be distributed and resilient
Hydrokinetic / low-head pilots	Test environmentally careful river applications in specific sites
Shared-storage neighborhoods	Compare many small batteries versus fewer larger community batteries
Repairability standards	Create component commonality and local maintenance protocols
Mechanochemical watchlist	Track laboratory-to-field progress without making bulk-energy promises

The most credible energy architecture for a Transitioned society is neither a return to primitive scarcity nor a blind faith in a single miraculous technology. It is a plural, layered system: demand reduction, electrified end uses, abundant local renewables where they fit, distributed storage, district thermal systems, microgrids that can island, and a regional exchange layer above them. In that framework, mature technologies do most of the near-term work, while promising but immature ideas such as mechanochemical engines remain valuable research frontiers rather than planning assumptions.

• NREL. 'The Value of Resilience for Distributed Energy Resources.' 2024. https://www.osti.gov/biblio/2394652

• NREL. 'Advancing Electric System Resilience with Distributed Energy Resources.' 2024. https://www.osti.gov/biblio/2394650

• U.S. Department of Energy. 'Distributed Energy Resources for Resilience.' https://web.archive.org/web/20240601234817/https://www.energy.gov/femp/distributed-energy-resources-resilience

• U.S. Department of Energy. 'Solar Integration: Distributed Energy Resources and Microgrids.' https://web.archive.org/web/20240601234821/https://www.energy.gov/eere/solar/solar-integration-distributed-energy-resources-and-microgrids

• IEA. 'Integrating Distributed Energy Resources in China.' 2025. https://www.iea.org/reports/integrating-distributed-energy-resources-in-china

• IEA. 'Grid congestion is posing challenges for energy security and transitions.' 2025. https://www.iea.org/commentaries/grid-congestion-is-posing-challenges-for-energy-security-and-transitions

• IEA. 'Islands need resilient power systems more than ever. Clean energy can deliver.' 2024. https://www.iea.org/commentaries/islands-need-resilient-power-systems-more-than-ever-clean-energy-can-deliver

• Kirke, B. 'Hydrokinetic and ultra-low-head turbines in rivers: A reality check.' Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments 37 (2019).

• Sasthav, C.M., et al. 'Environmental design of low-head run-of-river hydropower.' 2022. https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/1854486

• Shamsuddeen, M.M., et al. 'Feasibility study of ultra-low-head hydro turbines for energy generation.' Heliyon 10 (2024).

• Ahmed, A.A., et al. 'A Critical Review on the Use of Shallow Geothermal Energy Systems for Heating and Cooling Purposes.' Energies 15, no. 12 (2022).

• Nath, F., et al. 'Enhanced geothermal systems: A critical review of recent advances and future prospects.' Case Studies in Thermal Engineering 61 (2024).

• Sharmin, T., et al. 'A state-of-the-art review on geothermal energy extraction using enhanced geothermal systems.' Geomechanics and Geoengineering for Geo-Energy and Geo-Resources 9 (2023).

• Steinberg, I.Z., Oplatka, A., and Katchalsky, A. 'Mechanochemical engines.' Nature 210 (1966).

• Sussman, M.V. and Katchalsky, A. 'Mechanochemical turbine: a new power cycle.' Science 167 (1970).

• Shi, M., et al. 'A comparative review of artificial muscles for microsystem applications.' Microsystems & Nanoengineering 7 (2021).

• Kim, H., et al. 'Biomimetic Artificial Muscles Inspired by Nature's Volume-Change Strategies.' 2025 review.

Related Materials

Foundations of a Post-Currency Society The structural framework for how a society without currency coordinates production and distribution. **Before and After Scenarios** Side-by-side comparisons of daily life before and after the transition.